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PACIFIC FLYWAY MANAGEMENT PLAN
FOR THE
WESTERN ARCTIC POPULATION LESSER SNOW GOOSE

INTRODUCTION
The Pacific Flyway Council (Council) is an administrative body that forges cooperation among public wildlife agencies to protect and conserve migratory birds in western North America. The Council has prepared numerous management plans for most populations of swans, geese, and sandhill cranes in the Pacific Flyway (www.pacificflyway.gov). These plans typically focus on populations, which are the primary unit of management, but may be specific to a species or subspecies. Management plans serve to:

- Identify common goals;
- Coordinate collection and analysis of biological data;
- Prioritize management actions and establish responsibility for them; and
- Emphasize research needed to improve management.

Flyway management plans are products of the Council, developed and adopted to help state and federal agencies cooperatively manage migratory game birds under common goals. Management strategies are recommendations, but do not commit agencies to specific actions or schedules. Fiscal, legislative, and priority constraints influence the level and timing of implementation. Pacific Flyway plans generally guide management and research for a five-year planning horizon.

This plan provides guidelines for management of that portion of the Western Arctic Population (WAP) of lesser snow geese (Chen caerulescens caerulescens) that occurs in the Pacific Flyway. The WAP breeds primarily on Banks Island, Northwest Territories (NWT) in the western Canadian Arctic, with smaller colonies westward to the central Alaska coast (Fig. 1, Table 1). The majority of the population winters in the Central Valley of California, with the remainder mostly wintering in the western part of the Central Flyway, an area that includes eastern New Mexico, northwestern Texas, eastern Colorado, and the Central Highlands of Mexico (Fig. 2, Table 1). Important migration staging areas include the Arctic Coastal Plain of northeast Alaska and the Yukon, the Mackenzie River Valley, southeastern Alberta, southwestern Saskatchewan, western Montana, southern Idaho and Oregon, western Utah, southeastern Colorado, and northeastern California (Table 1). The WAP mixes in migration and on the wintering grounds with the Wrangel Island Population (WIP) and the Midcontinent Population (MP) of lesser snow geese and Ross's geese (Chen rossii). These other populations are addressed in separate Pacific and Central Flyway plans, but integration among them is essential to manage these overlapping populations of white geese.
Figure 1. Distribution of Western Arctic Population lesser snow goose leg band recoveries, 1939–2012.
Figure 2. General migration pathways of Western Arctic Population lesser snow geese between breeding, staging, and wintering areas (adapted from Armstrong et al. 1999).
GOALS AND OBJECTIVES

The goal of this management plan is to maintain the WAP at a healthy level and optimize distribution of lesser snow geese in all parts of their range to benefit society. It is recognized that the distribution of WAP lesser snow geese between the Pacific and Central flyways continues to be dynamic. Complementary planning between all jurisdictions is needed to ensure that coordinated management of the WAP is based on shared objectives and the best available information.

Objectives

A. Reduce breeding population to 200,000 adult lesser snow geese on the three traditional breeding areas (Banks Island, Anderson River delta, and Kendall Island), based on a five-year or more frequent photographic inventory.

B. Ensure adequate year-round habitat is available to support the population, but minimize negative effects due to overgrazing by geese.

C. Ensure WAP lesser snow geese, or management actions on the population do not negatively impact other species or populations, in particular WIP lesser snow geese.

D. Provide for aesthetic, educational, scientific, and consumptive uses.

STATUS

Abundance and Trends

Since 1976, the status of the WAP has been measured with periodic photographic inventories of the three main colonies in the Northwest Territories of Canada, including Banks Island, Anderson River, and Kendall Island (Table 2). In 1987, those three colonies had 98,300, 3,600, and 700 nesting pairs of lesser snow geese, respectively and over 205,000 geese (Hines et al. 1999). The colonies increased to 486,100 geese by 1995 and to 579,700 geese by 2002. The 2009 photo inventory estimated 431,800 lesser snow geese. The population in these colonies has increased approximately 4% per year from 1976 to 2010, despite a reduction in geese between the 2002 and 2009 photo inventories (Wood et al. 2011). The nesting colonies in Alaska have risen from 95 nesting pairs in 1980 to over 6,000 in 2010 (Ritchie et al. 2011; Table 3). The Ikpikpuk colony alone has increased from 40 nesting pairs in 1980 to over 8,600 in 2011 (Burgess et al. 2012). Continued growth at these observed rates, which are similar to those observed in the central Arctic, would inevitably lead to broad scale habitat destruction on the breeding grounds (Hines et al. 2010).

Traditional midwinter surveys indicate an increasing trend of white geese wintering in the Pacific Flyway; an average of 360,490 during 1955–1959 and 763,965 during 2009–2013. In 1979, the operational survey for Pacific Flyway white geese was changed to an early December count which has averaged 611,800 geese (Table 4). These counts neither distinguish the proportions of white geese which originate from the WAP, the WIP, or the MP, nor do they distinguish between lesser snow and Ross's geese. However, periodic air and ground
composition surveys are conducted in California to estimate proportions of lesser snow and Ross’s geese (Table 5). The proportions of these populations in the Pacific Flyway are known to have changed since initiation of population surveys, and primarily show a reduction in the proportion of Ross’s geese due to the increase in the lesser snow goose population.

WAP lesser snow geese also winter in the western part of the Central Flyway, including the Central Highlands of Mexico, where they mix with MP lesser snow and Ross's geese (Drewien et al. 2003). Surveys of the white geese wintering in the western part of the Central Flyway suggest increasing populations of snow and Ross’s geese. During the 1989–1990 winter survey of major migration and wintering areas in Colorado, New Mexico and Texas, there were an estimated 172,700 birds, of which 13% were Ross's geese (Benning 1991), while the 2011–2012 winter survey estimated 260,200 birds, of which 33% were Ross’s geese (Thorpe 2012).

It is important to note that declines in the estimated number of lesser snow geese from photo surveys do not necessarily reflect declines in the population. Specifically, the number of nests may decrease due to poor nesting conditions (i.e. late springs); therefore, many non-breeding adults may remain uncounted. Likewise, we report information only from known nesting colonies in the western Arctic. With the relatively recent (1990–present) expansion of nesting colonies in Alaska and the fact that nesting locations are quite remote, it is possible unknown colonies exist that remain to be surveyed. Furthermore, fall age ratios and other data (i.e., an increasing disparity between Lincoln-Peterson estimates and winter counts, and between Lincoln-Peterson estimates and photo estimates) suggest that there may be a large and possibly increasing non-breeding component among MP lesser snow geese (Leafloor et al. 2012). It is possible this may be occurring in the WAP as well.

**Nesting Areas**

Most (88% of the total) WAP lesser snow geese nest in the Egg River colony on Banks Island, with smaller colonies on the Anderson River delta and at Kendall Island in the Mackenzie River delta (Table 2). The nesting population on Banks Island has increased from 165,000 birds in 1976 to approximately 500,000 birds in recent years. The number of nesting geese varies considerably among years and is lower in years when nesting is delayed by late snowmelt (Samelius et al. 2008). In those years when nesting is delayed, large numbers of non-breeding geese were found in brood-rearing areas, which suggest timing of snowmelt has a greater effect on the proportion of geese attempting to breed each year than it has on the number of geese that arrive at Banks Island (Samelius et al. 2008). Nesting numbers and success at Anderson River have declined substantially since the 1970s and 1980s, likely due to vegetation loss from increased soil salinity and increased predation from grizzly bears (Obst et al. 2013). Nesting numbers and success at Kendall Island can vary greatly each year, depending on the level of spring flooding and predation by grizzly bears (Kerbes et al. 1999a).

WAP lesser snow geese occasionally bred on the Arctic Coastal Plain of Alaska through the 1970s; however, a small colony of 100–200 nesting pairs became established on Howe Island in the Sagavanirktok River delta near Prudhoe Bay. This colony has had a history of boom and bust years, and seldom exceeded 400 nests through the 1990s. Colony distribution has varied with ice conditions and predation by foxes and bears. By 2008, the Howe Island colony surpassed 1,000
nests (Blees et al. 2010). Lesser snow geese now nest in three other colonies on the Colville, Ikpikpuk, and Kukpowruk river deltas (Table 3). Since 1999, the Ikpikpuk colony has grown from 176 nests to over 4,000 nests in 2010 (Ritchie, et al. 2011). In 2010, nearly 7,000 pairs of lesser snow geese nested in four colonies along the Arctic Coastal Plain of northeast Alaska.

All main breeding colonies are located on flat, coastal areas, drained by braided deltas, and are within five miles of salt water, with the exception of those along the Egg and Kellet Rivers, about 16 miles inland on Banks Island (McEwen 1958).

Migration Routes and Chronology

Fall. – The Arctic Coastal Plain of northeast Alaska and the Yukon Territory, and the Mackenzie River delta in the NWT are important fall staging areas for as many as 600,000 WAP lesser snow geese (Garner and Reynolds 1986). In 1978, 325,800 lesser snow geese were estimated in the Arctic National Wildlife Refuge (Spindler 1978) and that number has since decreased. In 2004, the peak count (adjusted for observer error) was 189,600 and the long-term average is 106,100 lesser snow geese (Kendall 2006). Lesser snow geese utilize the Arctic Coastal Plain between late August and early September to build energy reserves needed for migration (Hupp et al. 2002) and, from there, they move south along the Mackenzie River to additional staging areas, first in the southern Northwest Territories (NWT), then to southeastern Alberta and southwestern Saskatchewan.

Non-breeding lesser snow geese leave Banks Island about mid-August on their southern migration. They first stage on the northwest edge of the Mackenzie River delta in early September, where they are joined by adults with young who stage throughout the Beaufort Sea Coastal Plain in western Canada and eastern Alaska (Barry 1967). The exact timing and path followed from the western Arctic to the Canadian prairies are unknown (Armstrong et al. 1999). On the main staging areas of southeastern Alberta and southwestern Saskatchewan, lesser snow geese peak in mid- to late October. Autumn staging areas used by WAP lesser snow geese in the Canadian prairies have gradually shifted eastward. In the 1950s and 1960s, 74% of the leg bands recovered in the Canadian prairies occurred in Alberta, and 26% occurred in Saskatchewan. By the late 1980s and early 1990s, only 36% of the recoveries occurred in Alberta, while the remainder (64%) occurred in Saskatchewan (Hines et al. 1999). Much of the fall migration is non-stop between Prairie Canada and wintering areas.

WAP lesser snow geese continue south from the Canadian prairies via several different routes (Fig. 2). One route passes through Freezout Lake, Montana, then southwest to Summer Lake, Oregon and the Klamath Basin on the Oregon-California border. These geese move on to winter in the Central Valley (especially the Sacramento Valley) of California. A small number of these geese continue on to the Imperial Valley of California. Others depart Montana and pass through the Bear River Marshes of Utah to the Imperial Valley of California (Armstrong et al.1999).

Another migration route used by WAP geese follows the Canadian prairies east of the Rocky Mountains to wintering grounds in southeastern Colorado, the Panhandle of Texas, the Rio Grande and Pecos River valleys of New Mexico, and the Central Highlands of Mexico (Fig. 2). A small
number of geese wintering in the western part of the Central Flyway arrive there via the Klamath Basin and the Central Valley (Armstrong et al. 1999).

Peak numbers are usually reached at Freezout Lake, Montana, during the first week in November, and most depart by the end of the month. White geese start to arrive in the Klamath Basin during mid-October, with large numbers arriving in late October or early November. Neck collar observations suggest that WIP lesser snow geese arrive in early to mid-October. Since the 1980s, an increasing proportion of lesser snow geese began bypassing the Klamath Basin, migrating directly to the Central Valley (Gilmer et al. 2004). Most of the geese that winter in the western Central Flyway arrive in early to mid-November. In the Central Highlands of Mexico (Chihuahua and Durango), lesser snow geese arrive in late-November and peak in mid-January (Armstrong et al. 1999).

Wintering Areas. – During the last ten years, the average midwinter and December surveys of white geese in the Pacific Flyway, excluding Mexico, were 723,100 and 843,300, respectively (Table 4). These include WAP and WIP lesser snow geese, as well as MP lesser snow geese and Ross's geese, the latter making up as much as 20–30% of the California wintering white goose population (Weaver 2011; Table 5). Other than the Skagit River/Fraser River (geese from the WIP), only small numbers of white geese winter anywhere else in the Pacific Flyway.

Historically, approximately 90% of the WAP wintered in the Central Valley of California, while the remainder mostly wintered in the western Central Flyway (Hines et al. 1999). However, band recoveries from the last 30 years show that the winter distribution of WAP lesser snow geese has shifted eastward and the population is increasingly mixing with MP lesser snow geese (Wood et al. 2011). In the 2000s, 81% of band recoveries occurred in the Pacific Flyway, while the rest were recovered in the western part of the Central Flyway (12%), the remainder of the Central Flyway (4%) and the Mississippi Flyway (3%) (Fig. 3; Table 6).

The midwinter survey estimate of lesser snow geese in the western part of the Central Flyway has increased since the early 1980s to about 260,200 in 2012 (Thorpe 2012; Table 7). Wood et al. (2011) suggest that WAP lesser snow geese are contributing to the observed increase in this wintering population.

Conventional leg banding conducted through the early 1980s indicated little or no interchange between wintering areas in the Pacific and Central flyways. However, neck collaring studies conducted during the late 1980s and early 1990s showed that some WAP lesser snow geese do move between the California and western part of the Central Flyway wintering areas, sometimes moving in both directions within the same winter (Kerbes 1988, 1989, 1990).
Figure 3. Distribution of leg band recoveries by recovery period for lesser snow geese marked in the Western Canadian Arctic from 1953–2007. Winter recoveries are displayed as a number per square area (2500 km²) to better represent areas with high densities. Pacific (PF), western part of the Central (WCF) and Mississippi (MF) flyways are represented by dashed lines (Wood et al. 2011).
Spring Migration Routes. – Armstrong et al. (1999) used neck collar observations to describe the spring migration of WAP lesser snow geese. Spring migration begins during February as geese leave the Central Valley of California for the Klamath Basin and southern Oregon (Fig. 2). By mid-March, they move northward through eastern Oregon and southern Idaho before moving to western Montana, southeastern Alberta, and southwestern Saskatchewan by early to mid-April on their return to the western Arctic. Since the early 2000s, significant numbers of lesser snow geese have been observed across southwestern Idaho. It is not well understood where these birds originate.

Most geese that winter in the western part of the Central Flyway make a more direct return to Alberta-Saskatchewan, but some geese follow a secondary route through the Central Valley and then on to Alberta-Saskatchewan.

Most WAP lesser snow geese that winter in southern California arrive in Utah during the last week of February, Nevada in the first week of March, eastern Oregon and Montana by mid-March, and the Canadian prairies during the first week of April (Armstrong et al. 1999). Some of the southern California geese take a route through the Central Valley and then on to Alberta-Saskatchewan (Armstrong et al. 1999).

Geese continue to travel north with the snowmelt, particularly along the Mackenzie River Valley, where they stage on islands in the river in mid-May (Latour et al. 2008). Beyond the zone of agriculture, the migration passes the snowmelt line to arrive on the nesting grounds by mid to late-May (Samelius et al. 2008). When the geese arrive on the nesting area, flocks break up into small groups and then into pairs as soon as snow-free ground is available (Barry 1967).

Production and Mortality

Barry (1967) concluded that most lesser snow geese nest for the first time in their third summer, but in particularly favorable seasons, some nest in their second summer. When snow conditions permit, nesting commences a few days after arrival on the breeding grounds. Most nest construction occurs within a ten-day period, regardless of the phenology of the season. Median nest initiation dates on Banks Island ranged from 26 May– June. Furthermore, nest initiation is highly synchronized within years, with 70–84% of geese initiating nests over a five-day period (Samelius et al. 2008). Generally, 12 days after the first nest has been completed, all laying has terminated (Cooch 1961). In a delayed season when snowmelt is late, the last bird to start laying has only about 83 days before freeze up. The average incubation period is 23.6 days and the first flight occurs about 43 days after hatching (Cooch et al. 1995, Lesage and Gauthier 1997).

Each pair occupies and defends a small area around the nest. Nests can be as close as six feet apart in the dense centers of colonies. Average clutch size ranges from 3.5–4.42, and is lower when nesting is delayed by snowmelt (Cooch 1961, Samelius et al. 2008). Number of eggs per clutch varies from two to 10, but rarely exceeds five (Cooch 1961).

Lesser snow geese are usually successful nesters, except during years of extremely severe weather. Samelius et al. (2008) found that nesting success on Banks Island ranged from 45–86%. Abandonment and nest depredation accounted for 87–100% of nest failures, while flooding and mortality of nesting females account for the remainder. As colony size increases over time,
predation pressure is generally reduced because predators become limited by factors other than food (Wittenberger and Hunt 1985, Raveling 1989). Samelius et al. (2008) speculate that the main factor affecting nesting success on Banks Island may switch from predation to spring chronology.

During 1995–1997 and 1999–2009, brood-rearing surveys of lesser snow geese on the Arctic Coastal Plain of northeast Alaska averaged 49% goslings, and ranged from 20–59% (Ritchie et al. 2011). In the western part of the Central Flyway, productivity surveys recorded an average of 20% young during the period 1984–2007 (Thorpe 2012, Table 7). The survival rate for adult WAP lesser snow geese from 2000–2007 was 0.85 (Hines et al. 2011).

Public Use

Harvest. – Currently, no method exists for estimating the proportions of harvest among the various lesser snow goose populations or breeding segments which winter in the Pacific and Central flyways. Total lesser snow goose harvest in the U.S. is measured by the federal Waterfowl Parts Collection and Mail Questionnaire Surveys, and the Harvest Information Program (HIP). About 64,100 lesser snow geese were harvested in the Pacific Flyway states in 2011, a 12% increase from the 1999–2010 average, and similar to the 2010 harvest of 65,000. The long-term average harvest (57,900) comprises 14% of the total goose harvest in the Pacific Flyway states (Table 8). Most of the flyway harvest (71%) occurs in California. Furthermore, 51% of all band recoveries occur in California (Fig. 1).

Lesser snow geese averaged 29% of the total goose harvest in California during the 2000s. The important lesser snow goose harvest counties within California for the same time period, expressed as a percentage of the state total, were: Colusa (28%), Glenn (20%), Butte (10%), Lassen (9%), and Merced (9%). The adjacent counties of Glenn and Colusa in California are the most important lesser snow goose harvest areas in the flyway. Goose harvest on state operated public hunting areas in California averaged 9–15% of the total goose harvest in the state during 1960–1989 (Fleskes et al. 1994). Lesser snow goose harvest on state operated public hunting areas in California average about 10% of the total lesser snow goose harvest in the state (M. Weaver, California Department of Fish and Wildlife, personal communication).

During the 2008–2009 regulations cycle, Council extended the white goose framework for Interior states to 10 March. Utah initiated seasons in two locations during spring 2009, Idaho followed with a season in southern Idaho in 2010, and Nevada held a season in spring 2013. Average annual harvest was estimated at 3,000 geese in Utah (B. Stringham, Utah Division of Wildlife Resources, personal communication; Table 9). In Idaho, average annual harvest was estimated at 2,200 geese (J. Knetter, Idaho Department of Fish and Game, personal communication; Table 9). The majority of band recoveries in Idaho originated from WAP geese banded in Alaska and Canada; however, there were a few recovered from the WIP.

Lesser snow goose harvest in western Canada appears to be increasing overall, but declining in Alberta. Average harvest in Alberta, British Columbia, and Saskatchewan has increased from 38,100 (1970–1979) to 102,700 (2002–2011). During the same time period in Saskatchewan, average harvest increased from 14,100 to 89,400, while Alberta harvest declined from 22,100 to 10,800 (Canadian Wildlife Service Waterfowl Committee 2012; Table 10).
Harvest rates for adult WAP lesser snow geese are low (~0.04) and are comparable to those for the overabundant MP (Alisauskas et al. 2011, Hines et al. 2011). This suggests very little impact of hunting on survival at current levels. Reduction or stabilization of the MP beginning in 1999 would have required adult survival rates to fall to 0.80 or lower (Rockwell et al. 1997). Even after 13 years of spring harvests and liberalized hunting regulations, annual adult survival ranges from 0.83–0.87 (Alisauskas et al. 2011).

Lesser snow geese nesting on Banks Island are of great importance to the Inuvialuit; geese make up an important part of local diets and are harvested by all six communities in the Inuvialuit Settlement Region, NWT (Joint Secretariat 2003). The harvest of WAP lesser snow geese by northern Aboriginal hunters in the NWT is about 6,000 per year (Hines et al. 2010). Average annual subsistence harvest in Alaska is about 1,100 birds (Naves 2010).

Nonconsumptive Use. – Lesser snow geese are impressive in areas of concentration and are of special interest to the general public. Annual snow goose festivals take place in California, Colorado, Utah, Washington and British Columbia. With increased interest in wildlife, nonconsumptive use continues to grow.

The importance of nonconsumptive use is apparent in the 2012 revision of the North American Waterfowl Management Plan (NAWMP): People Conserving Waterfowl and Wetlands. It specifically pursues formal integration of waterfowl population objectives, habitat conservation, and societal needs and desires. Furthermore, the NAWMP Committee and the National Flyway Council have formed a Human Dimensions Working Group to support the development of plan objectives for people and to ensure these actions are informed by science.

Conservation Status

In general, arctic-nesting geese have increased due to anthropogenic factors which include changes in agricultural practices, the establishment of wildlife refuges, declines in hunter numbers and hunting pressure, and climate change (Ankney 1996; Batt 1997, 1998; Moser 2001; Gauthier et al. 2005). Specifically, snow geese and Ross’s geese have benefitted from these developments, and have increased to levels at which some populations of snow geese are considered overabundant in Canada and the United States, and Ross’s geese are considered overabundant in the United States.

Lesser snow geese in the MP have increased to a level where they have caused major damage to arctic and sub-arctic ecosystems upon which they and other species depend (Leafloor et al 2012). A trophic cascade of habitat degradation has occurred whereby destructive foraging by geese has created soil salinity and moisture conditions that have led to desertification on both staging and nesting areas (Batt 1997).

As of 2001, extensive damage by foraging lesser snow geese, like that observed in the central Arctic, had not yet been observed on Banks Island; however, there is evidence that the lesser snow goose colony is negatively affecting breeding shorebird numbers within 10 km of the colony (Latour et al. 2010). Because the vast majority (95%) of the WAP of lesser snow geese nests on Banks Island, increased growth of the population is not desirable. The capacity of the lowlands that support large numbers of grazing animals (i.e., lesser snow goose and muskoxen) is unknown, and it
is extremely difficult to implement successful harvest-related management actions should the population become too large (Hines et al. 2010).

The WAP of lesser snow geese has rapidly increased on the Alaskan Arctic Coastal plain. As a result, Burgess et al. (2012) have speculated that increasing lesser snow goose numbers may have a negative impact on Pacific Brant due to competition for, or degradation of, salt marsh habitats used by both species during brood rearing.

Staging MP lesser snow and Ross’s geese are known to have caused habitat degradation at coastal sites that serve as both breeding and staging sites in western and southern Hudson Bay and James Bay (Jefferies and Rockwell 2002). Limited data is available for staging sites in the western Arctic, but Obst et al. (2013) believe vegetation loss caused by salt-water flooding on the Anderson River delta may be exacerbated by the feeding activities of migrant lesser snow geese.

Increased impacts to managed wetlands have been observed in the Sacramento Valley, California, including removal of large expanses of bulrushes by foraging geese in winter months. Additional water is now being used on some areas to maintain bulrush in seasonal wetlands for goose forage and cover for other wetland species.

While WAP lesser snow geese have not been officially designated as overabundant, the long-term population growth, evidence of localized habitat loss on the breeding grounds, low rate of harvest, and high survival estimates suggest that management intervention is required to keep the population in check and prevent habitat destruction problems. Kerbes et al. (1999b) and Hines et al. (2010) recommend that the WAP be stabilized at its current level to prevent habitat loss, but still provide subsistence harvest by the Inuvialuit and hunting opportunities in the Canadian prairies, the western United States, and north-central Mexico.

The Canadian Wildlife Service has published a Notice of Intent to consider designation of the WAP of lesser snow geese as overabundant (Canadian Wildlife Service Waterfowl Committee 2012). This designation would provide tools to liberalize harvest under special conservation measures. It has become apparent from the experiences and lessons learned from management of MP lesser snow geese that a proactive approach to population management is advisable. It is easier to recover goose populations that reach low levels than to reduce them after they experience runaway growth (Leafloor et al. 2012).

Achieving a lower population through an increase in harvest alone in the Pacific Flyway may be unlikely given the gradual decline of hunters, particularly in California where the majority of harvest occurs. Active adult hunters averaged 127,600 in the 1970s compared to an average of 52,300 in the 2000s. In addition, there is concern over further liberalizing harvest regulations in California where WIP lesser snow geese mix with WAP lesser snow geese.
MANAGEMENT ISSUES

WAP lesser snow geese are currently above the North American Waterfowl Management Plan goal of 200,000. The nesting population has grown at a rate of 4% per year since 1976 and recent harvest rates are low. Both the rate of growth and harvest rates are comparable to overabundant MP lesser snow geese. While WAP lesser snow geese have not been officially designated as overabundant, the long-term population growth, evidence of localized habitat loss on the breeding grounds, low rate of harvest, and high survival estimates suggest that management intervention is required to keep the population in check and prevent habitat destruction problems.

1. WAP lesser snow geese are currently above the North American Waterfowl Management Plan goal of 200,000.
   - The nesting population on Banks Island has grown at a rate of 4% per year since 1976 and recent harvest rates are low. Both are comparable to overabundant MP lesser snow geese.
   - Nesting colonies continue to rapidly expand on the Alaskan Arctic Coastal Plain.
   - Habitat effects on breeding, staging, and wintering grounds need to be assessed.
     - Continue efforts on Banks Island and implement efforts on Alaskan Arctic Coastal Plain.
   - Monitoring is required to track population numbers, distribution, harvest rates and survival.

2. Current harvest management strategies have not eliminated crop depredation on migration and wintering areas.
   - Evaluate economic and yield impacts on agricultural production.
   - Delineate problem areas and focus harvest in those areas.

3. Habitat condition of staging areas is currently unknown.
   - Assess habitat condition at important staging areas.

4. Management activity should consider and address the needs of WIP lesser snow geese.
   - Evaluate all harvest activities on WIP lesser snow geese through banding.
   - Evaluate timing of migration and compare to WAP lesser snow geese to determine areas where harvest can be focused primarily on WAP lesser snow geese.

RECOMMENDED MANAGEMENT STRATEGIES

Habitat

1. Confirm habitat loss or alteration on the breeding grounds due to overabundance. Determine if habitat loss or alteration is occurring on the staging, or wintering grounds.

   Lead Agencies: USFWS, CWS, NWT, Yukon, states, local governments
   Priority: 1
   Schedule: Ongoing
a. Initiate a long-term evaluation of the habitat health of the breeding grounds.

Lead Agencies: USFWS, CWS, NWT, Yukon, Alaska, local governments
Priority: 2
Schedule: Ongoing

b. Assess habitat conditions at important staging areas.

Lead Agencies: USFWS, CWS, NWT, Yukon, Alaska, local governments
Priority: 2
Schedule: Ongoing

c. Determine if there are any negative impacts to agricultural areas and wetlands on migration and wintering areas.

Lead Agencies: USFWS, CDFW, CVJV, IWJV, SJV
Priority: 2
Schedule: Ongoing

2. Determine how agricultural practices and incentives in the Central Valley, northeast California and southern Oregon have contributed to increasing white goose populations.

Lead Agencies: USFWS, CDFW, CVJV, IWJV
Priority: 2
Schedule: Ongoing

a. Track rice production and agricultural practices implemented in the Central Valley, northeast California and southern Oregon.

Lead Agencies: USFWS, CDFW, CVJV, IWJV
Priority: 3
Schedule: Ongoing

3. Determine if winter habitat changes in Mexico are affecting populations of lesser snow geese. Areas which should be monitored include Laguna de Bavicora, Laguna Salada, Ascension, Casas Grandes, Minsca Mexicanos, Gertrudis Delicias Santiaguillo, south Durango, Laguna de Guzman, and Laguna de Santa Maria.

Lead Agencies: Mexico, USFWS, CWS, DUMAC
Priority: 3
Schedule: Ongoing
Harvest

1. Establish, adjust, and enforce hunting regulations to meet the objectives of this plan, and determine whether or not hunters can/will increase their harvest of lesser snow geese and Ross’s geese if given the opportunity (i.e., before the population escapes the ability of hunters to control them).

   Lead Agencies: USFWS, CWS, states, provinces, territories
   Priority: 1
   Schedule: Ongoing

   a. Seek an overabundant designation for WAP lesser snow geese and implementation of Conservation Order seasons in Canada and the United States, or implementation of direct control on the primary breeding colony on Banks Island if the 2013 photo inventory indicates population growth.

      Lead Agencies: USFWS, CWS, states, provinces, territories
      Priority: 1
      Schedule: Ongoing

   b. Liberalize hunting regulations to achieve an increased harvest rate of WAP geese. If cumulative impacts to WIP geese are too great, recently liberalized regulations should be adjusted prior to reducing white goose hunting opportunity during the traditional hunting season (occurring before the last Sunday in January).

      Lead Agencies: USFWS, CWS, states, provinces, territories
      Priority: 1
      Schedule: Ongoing

2. Continue to monitor harvest of lesser snow geese and develop means to assess WAP harvest to determine effectiveness of harvest strategies.

   Lead Agencies: USFWS, CWS, states, provinces, territories
   Priority: 1
   Schedule: Ongoing

   a. Establish a monitoring program to determine the potential impacts of increased harvest on survival and harvest rates, and the distribution of harvest.

      Lead Agencies: USGS, USFWS, CWS, states, provinces, territories
      Priority: 1
      Schedule: Ongoing
3. Evaluate all harvest activities on WIP lesser snow geese through banding.

   Lead Agencies: USFWS, CWS, states, provinces, territories
   Priority: 1
   Schedule: Ongoing

4. Delineate agricultural damage areas and focus harvest in those areas.

   Lead Agencies: USFWS, CWS, states, provinces, territories
   Priority: 2
   Schedule: Ongoing

5. Continue coordination with the Alaska Migratory Bird Co-Management Council (AMBCC) through cooperative management planning, delivery of the statewide subsistence harvest survey, development of annual hunting regulations, and implementation of other conservation measures.

   Lead Agency: AMBCC
   Participating: USFWS, ADFG, WDFW, ODFW, CDFW
   Priority: 1
   Schedule: Ongoing

6. Monitor subsistence harvest in Canada involving the subsistence users as data gatherers.

   Lead Agency: CWS, NWT, Yukon
   Priority: 3
   Schedule: Ongoing

**Population Monitoring**

1. Perform photo census of breeding colonies at a minimum frequency of every three years.

   Lead Agency: USFWS, CWS
   Priority: 1
   Schedule: 2013, 2016

2. Determine if there are nesting colony locations that are currently unknown.

   Lead Agency: USFWS, CWS, states, provinces, territories, communities
   Priority: 1
   Schedule: 2013, 2016

   a. Build spatial models to identify potentially suitable locations for nesting colonies, and conduct aerial surveys to determine if colonies are present.
3. Continue the special December winter survey initiated in 1979.

   Lead Agencies: USFWS, All states
   Priority: 1
   Schedule: Ongoing

4. Conduct aerial and ground surveys to estimate the proportion of Ross's geese on the wintering grounds every third year (2014, 2017).

   Lead Agencies: USFWS, CDFW
   Priority: 1
   Schedule: Ongoing

5. Implement a lesser snow goose banding program on Banks Island and continue banding efforts on the Alaskan Arctic Coastal Plain to obtain updated harvest and survival rates.

   Lead Agencies: CWS, North Slope Borough, USFWS
   Priority: 1
   Schedule: Ongoing

6. Monitor all breeding areas in Alaska at a minimum frequency of every three years to assess the impacts on Pacific brant.

   Lead Agency: North Slope Borough, USFWS
   Priority: 1
   Schedule: 2012, 2015

7. Implement lesser snow goose banding programs on the wintering grounds to obtain harvest migration information about the recently implemented spring seasons in Idaho, Nevada, and Utah.

   Lead Agencies: USFWS, states
   Priority: 2
   Schedule: Ongoing

**Research**

1. Implement research and monitoring efforts on WAP lesser snow goose breeding areas on the Alaskan Arctic Coastal Plain to determine breeding biology parameters and potential productivity of established colony sites, ecology and nutrient requirements of geese, competition with ungulates, and requirements for molting areas.
2. Continue research and monitoring efforts on Banks Island, a key WAP lesser snow goose breeding area, to determine breeding biology parameters and potential productivity of established colony sites, ecology and nutrient requirements of geese, competition with ungulates, and requirements for molting areas.

   Lead Agencies: CWS, NWT
   Priority: 3
   Schedule: Undetermined

3. Determine the extent of habitat degradation that may be occurring on important staging areas in the Arctic, similar to what has been or is being done on midcontinent staging and nesting areas.

   Lead Agency: USFWS, CWS, states, provinces, territories
   Priority: 1
   Schedule: Ongoing

   a. Conduct periodic ground studies and evaluate changes in habitat over time based on classified satellite imagery.

      Lead Agency: USFWS, CWS, states, provinces, territories
      Priority: 2
      Schedule: Ongoing

4. Identify important feeding habitats for WAP geese on the Beaufort Sea Coastal Plain. These determinations should be made to evaluate how those habitats are distributed, the annual movement of geese among these areas, and project how future resource development scenarios may impact habitat availability across the Coastal Plain.

   Lead Agency: USFWS, CWS
   Priority: 2
   Schedule: Ongoing

5. Model goose energetics with available rice and land use changes.

   Lead Agencies: USFWS, states, provinces, territories
   Priority: 2
   Schedule: Ongoing
6. Identify and determine the importance of fall and spring staging areas between the breeding grounds and agricultural areas of Alberta and Saskatchewan. Assess availability of food and water, and levels of use by geese.
   Lead Agencies: CWS, NWT, Yukon, provinces
   Priority: 2
   Schedule: Undetermined

7. Evaluate current population survey methods and investigate new approaches to efficiently obtain more accurate information.

   Lead Agencies: CWS, CDFW, USFWS, states, provinces, territories
   Priority: 2
   Schedule: Ongoing
PLAN IMPLEMENTATION AND ANNUAL REVIEW

The lesser snow/Ross's goose Subcommittee shall investigate both lesser snow and Ross's geese. The subcommittee shall meet twice annually or as needed to review progress toward achieving the goal and objectives of this plan and to recommend actions and revisions. The Subcommittee shall report, through the Pacific Flyway Study Committee, accomplishments and shortcomings of management efforts to Council, state and federal agencies having relevant management responsibilities, and organizations interested in the management of geese.

The Subcommittee shall, through the Pacific Flyway Study Committee and Council, be responsible for integrating the provisions of this plan with plans and programs for management of lesser snow geese in the Central Flyway and maintain an active, cooperative dialogue with the Central Flyway Technical Committee. In addition, the subcommittee will ensure that lesser snow goose management and research guidelines, and population and habitat objectives are linked with and related to the Arctic Goose Joint Venture and habitat Joint Ventures in the Pacific Flyway (CVJV, IWJV, PCJV, SJV; North American Waterfowl Management Plan). It shall be the responsibility of the subcommittee members to assure that the objectives and procedures of this plan are integrated and coordinated with those plans and activities of the various wildlife and land management agencies and local planning systems within their agency's venue.

The Subcommittee shall be comprised of a representative from each federal, provincial and state agency having management responsibility for this goose population. Chairmanship shall be appointed biannually and rotated among member agencies. The subcommittee will exercise its prerogative to invite participation (ex officio) at meetings by any individuals, group, agency or representative whose expertise, counsel or managerial capacity is required for the coordination and implementation of management programs. The chairmanship will rotate as follows:

- Montana 2011-2013
- USFWS R1 2013-2015
- Utah 2015-2017
- Idaho 2017-2019
- TBD 2019-2021
- USFWS R8 2021-2023
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Table 1. Description of habitats used by Western Arctic Population lesser snow geese of the Pacific Flyway.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>AREA</th>
<th>USE</th>
<th>NUMBER</th>
<th>SEASON</th>
<th>REMARKS</th>
<th>THREATS/SAFEGUARDS</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td><strong>ALASKA</strong></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Sagavanirktok River delta</td>
<td>Breeding</td>
<td>2,000</td>
<td>Spring-summer</td>
<td>Major brood-rearing and banding site.</td>
<td>Adjacent to Prudhoe and Endicott oilfields.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Ilpikpuk River delta</td>
<td>Breeding</td>
<td>8,000</td>
<td>Spring-summer</td>
<td>Colony boom in 2000.</td>
<td>In NPR-A lease area.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Arctic NWR coastal plain</td>
<td>Migration</td>
<td>100-325,000</td>
<td>Late summer</td>
<td>Portions used for molting and staging.</td>
<td>Federal refuge oil and gas prospect.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>CANADA</strong></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Banks Island</td>
<td>Breeding</td>
<td>&gt;400,000</td>
<td>Spring-summer</td>
<td>Based on aerial photo surveys approximately every five years. The population has grown from &lt;200,000 nesting birds in 1970s and 1980s to a peak of &gt;570,000 nesting birds in 2002. The current nesting population is &gt;400,000 birds, based on the 2009 aerial photo survey.</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Anderson River delta</td>
<td>Breeding</td>
<td>1,400</td>
<td>Spring-summer</td>
<td>In the 1970s and 1980s, 4,000-8,000 lesser snow geese nested at the Anderson River colony. From 1995–2009, an average of 1,400 geese nested annually at Anderson River. The lower nesting numbers is thought to be from increased grizzly bear predation and vegetation changes.</td>
<td>Vegetation changes.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Location</td>
<td>Activity</td>
<td>Population</td>
<td>Season</td>
<td>Notes</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>---------------------------</td>
<td>--------------</td>
<td>------------</td>
<td>--------------</td>
<td>---------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Kendall Island</td>
<td>Breeding</td>
<td>1,800</td>
<td>Spring-summer</td>
<td>In the 1970s and 1980s, the Kendall Island colony. From 1995–2009, an average of 1,800 geese have nested annually at Kendall Island. The nesting population typically varies a lot from year to year.</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Mackenzie River delta</td>
<td>Migration</td>
<td>25-320,000</td>
<td>Fall</td>
<td>In years when the Yukon and Alaskan North Slope is snow-covered, large numbers of snow geese will stage for extended periods in the delta.</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Mackenzie River Islands</td>
<td>Migration</td>
<td></td>
<td>Spring</td>
<td>The Mackenzie River is a major spring migration corridor and it is probable that the entire WAP migrates down the Mackenzie Valley. Islands on the Mackenzie River are important spring stopover areas for Snow Geese. Duration of stay is generally short, but depends on weather and snow conditions further north.</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Anderson River delta</td>
<td>Migration</td>
<td>20,000-40,000</td>
<td>Spring</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Yukon North Slope</td>
<td>Migration</td>
<td>100-600,000</td>
<td>Late summer</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Hay Lake, Grande Prairie</td>
<td>Migration</td>
<td></td>
<td>Fall, spring</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>SE Alberta, SW Saskatchewan</td>
<td>Migration</td>
<td></td>
<td>Fall, spring</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>MONTANA</strong></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Freezout Lake</td>
<td>Migration</td>
<td>10-50,000</td>
<td>Fall</td>
<td>Migration splits to OR, ID, UT, NV, CA.</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>100-300,000</td>
<td>Spring</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>IDAHO</strong></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Southwest Idaho</td>
<td>Migration</td>
<td>~50,000+</td>
<td>Spring</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Eastern Idaho</td>
<td>Migration</td>
<td>~30,000+</td>
<td>Spring</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
Table 1 Continued

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Location</th>
<th>Type</th>
<th>Number</th>
<th>Season</th>
<th>Notes</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td><strong>UTAH</strong></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Great Salt Lake Marshes</td>
<td>Migration</td>
<td>&lt;2,500</td>
<td>Fall</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Delta, UT and Bear River Marshes</td>
<td>Migration</td>
<td>~30,000</td>
<td>Spring</td>
<td>Mixed white geese.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>NEVADA</strong></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Carson Sink</td>
<td>Migration and wintering</td>
<td>1,000-20,000</td>
<td>Fall, winter, spring</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>OREGON</strong></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Summer Lake (WA)</td>
<td>Migration</td>
<td>10,000</td>
<td>Fall, spring</td>
<td>Mixed white geese. State wildlife area.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>CALIFORNIA</strong></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Klamath Basin</td>
<td>Migration</td>
<td>300,000+</td>
<td>Fall, spring</td>
<td>Mixed white geese. Mostly on federal refuges.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Central Valley</td>
<td>Wintering</td>
<td>1,000,000</td>
<td>Winter</td>
<td>Mixed white geese. State and federal areas, managed private lands (duck clubs) stable. Rice acreage subject to market fluctuations. Fowl cholera and botulism.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Imperial Valley</td>
<td>Wintering</td>
<td>30,000</td>
<td>Winter</td>
<td>Some WIP geese. One state wildlife area and one federal refuge.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>NEW MEXICO</strong></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Bosque del Apache National Wildlife Refuge</td>
<td>Migration/wintering</td>
<td>57,000</td>
<td>Fall, winter</td>
<td>Population increasing since the 1950s. Federal refuge.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Bitter Lake National Wildlife Refuge</td>
<td>Migration/wintering</td>
<td>75,000</td>
<td>Fall, winter</td>
<td>Population increasing since the 1950s. Federal refuge.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>COLORADO</strong></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Northeast Colorado</td>
<td>Migration/wintering</td>
<td>11,000</td>
<td>Fall, winter</td>
<td>Increasing substantially in last decade.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Southeast Colorado</td>
<td>Migration</td>
<td>42,000</td>
<td>Fall, winter</td>
<td>Population increasing since the 1950s. Wintering since 1984–85.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>MEXICO</strong></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Central Highlands</td>
<td>Wintering</td>
<td>62,000</td>
<td>Winter</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
Table 2. Photographic inventories of lesser snow goose colonies in the Western Canadian Arctic, 1976-2009.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Colony</th>
<th>No. Nesting Pairs</th>
<th>Total Nesting Area (km²)</th>
<th>% analyzed on photos</th>
<th>Density Birds&lt;sup&gt;a&lt;/sup&gt;</th>
<th>% Non-breeding</th>
<th>Sample size</th>
<th>Total Geese</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td><strong>Banks Is.</strong></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>June 1976&lt;sup&gt;b&lt;/sup&gt;</td>
<td>82,511</td>
<td>605.3</td>
<td>16</td>
<td>1.4</td>
<td>6%</td>
<td>42,007</td>
<td>175,555</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>June 1981&lt;sup&gt;c&lt;/sup&gt;</td>
<td>99,063</td>
<td>169.2</td>
<td>33</td>
<td>5.9</td>
<td>8%</td>
<td>93,591</td>
<td>215,354</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>June 1987&lt;sup&gt;d&lt;/sup&gt;</td>
<td>98,253</td>
<td>109.4</td>
<td>16</td>
<td>18.0</td>
<td>196,506</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>June 1995&lt;sup&gt;d&lt;/sup&gt;</td>
<td>112.1</td>
<td>18</td>
<td>42.8</td>
<td></td>
<td>479,362</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>June 2002&lt;sup&gt;e&lt;/sup&gt;</td>
<td>304.5</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>570,517</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>June 2007&lt;sup&gt;e&lt;/sup&gt;</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>302,133</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>June 2009&lt;sup&gt;e&lt;/sup&gt;</td>
<td></td>
<td>203.5</td>
<td>15</td>
<td>21.0</td>
<td>427,019</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Anderson River</strong></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>June 1976&lt;sup&gt;b&lt;/sup&gt;</td>
<td>1,913</td>
<td>15.5</td>
<td>100</td>
<td>1.2</td>
<td>21%</td>
<td>4,843</td>
<td>4,843</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>June 1981&lt;sup&gt;c&lt;/sup&gt;</td>
<td>4,180</td>
<td>16.9</td>
<td>100</td>
<td>2.5</td>
<td>10%</td>
<td>9,238</td>
<td>9,238</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>June 1987&lt;sup&gt;d&lt;/sup&gt;</td>
<td>3,593</td>
<td>15.9</td>
<td>100</td>
<td>4.6</td>
<td>7,186</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>June 1995&lt;sup&gt;d&lt;/sup&gt;</td>
<td></td>
<td>15.2</td>
<td>100</td>
<td>2.4</td>
<td>3,607</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>June 2002&lt;sup&gt;e&lt;/sup&gt;</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>2,288</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>June 2007&lt;sup&gt;e&lt;/sup&gt;</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>4,036</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>June 2009&lt;sup&gt;e&lt;/sup&gt;</td>
<td></td>
<td>21.0</td>
<td>82</td>
<td>0.1</td>
<td>222</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Kendall Island</strong></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>June 1976&lt;sup&gt;b&lt;/sup&gt;</td>
<td>416</td>
<td>6.2</td>
<td>100</td>
<td>0.7</td>
<td>41%</td>
<td>1,577</td>
<td>1,577</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>June 1981&lt;sup&gt;c&lt;/sup&gt;</td>
<td>521</td>
<td>2.6</td>
<td>100</td>
<td>2.0</td>
<td>10%</td>
<td>1,153</td>
<td>1,153</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>June 1987&lt;sup&gt;d&lt;/sup&gt;</td>
<td>690</td>
<td>3.2</td>
<td>100</td>
<td>4.4</td>
<td>1,380</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>June 1995&lt;sup&gt;d&lt;/sup&gt;</td>
<td>6.4</td>
<td>100</td>
<td>4.8</td>
<td></td>
<td>3,050</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>June 2002&lt;sup&gt;e&lt;/sup&gt;</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>6,948</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>June 2007&lt;sup&gt;e&lt;/sup&gt;</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>4,606</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>June 2009&lt;sup&gt;e&lt;/sup&gt;</td>
<td></td>
<td>7.7</td>
<td>100</td>
<td>0.4</td>
<td>287</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Total (weighted)</strong></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>June 1976&lt;sup&gt;b&lt;/sup&gt;</td>
<td>84,840</td>
<td>627.0</td>
<td>1.4</td>
<td>7%</td>
<td>181,975</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>June 1981&lt;sup&gt;c&lt;/sup&gt;</td>
<td>103,764</td>
<td>188.7</td>
<td>5.5</td>
<td>8%</td>
<td>225,745</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>June 1987&lt;sup&gt;d&lt;/sup&gt;</td>
<td>102,536</td>
<td>128.5</td>
<td>16.0</td>
<td></td>
<td>205,072</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>June 1995&lt;sup&gt;d&lt;/sup&gt;</td>
<td>133.7</td>
<td>36.4</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>486,019</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>June 2002&lt;sup&gt;e&lt;/sup&gt;</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>579,753</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>June 2007&lt;sup&gt;e&lt;/sup&gt;</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>310,775</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>June 2009&lt;sup&gt;e&lt;/sup&gt;</td>
<td></td>
<td>232.2</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>427,528</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

<sup>a</sup> Density from 1976 and 1981 presented as breeding pairs/ha.
<sup>b</sup> Kerbes, R.H. 1983.
<sup>c</sup> Kerbes, R.H. 1986.
<sup>d</sup> Kerbes et al. 1999a.
<sup>e</sup> Unpublished data, Canadian Wildlife Service.
Table 3. Inventories of lesser snow goose colonies on the Arctic Coastal Plain of northeast Alaska, 1980–2010.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Colony</th>
<th>No. Nesting Pairs</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td><strong>Ikpikpuk</strong></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>1980</td>
<td>40</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>1990</td>
<td>40</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2000</td>
<td>300</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2010</td>
<td>4,000</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Kukpowruk</strong></td>
<td>0</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>1980</td>
<td>0</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>1990</td>
<td>60</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2000</td>
<td>40</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2010</td>
<td>310</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Colville Delta</strong></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>1980</td>
<td>5</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>1990</td>
<td>5</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2000</td>
<td>90</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2010</td>
<td>800</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Howe Island</strong></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>1980</td>
<td>50</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>1990</td>
<td>400</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2000</td>
<td>190</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2010</td>
<td>1,000</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

* Ritchie et al. 2011.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Year</th>
<th>Midwinter Survey</th>
<th>November-December Survey</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>1955</td>
<td>317,284</td>
<td>1979 528,100</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>1956</td>
<td>416,185</td>
<td>1980 204,200</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>1957</td>
<td>356,601</td>
<td>1981 759,900</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>1958</td>
<td>324,145</td>
<td>1982 354,100</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>1959</td>
<td>388,143</td>
<td>1983 547,600</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>1960</td>
<td>367,332</td>
<td>1984 466,300</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>1961</td>
<td>463,523</td>
<td>1985 549,800</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>1962</td>
<td>568,938</td>
<td>1986 521,700</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>1963</td>
<td>482,916</td>
<td>1987 525,300</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>1964</td>
<td>484,806</td>
<td>1988 441,000</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>1965</td>
<td>533,493</td>
<td>1989 463,900</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>1966</td>
<td>338,774</td>
<td>1990 708,500</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>1967</td>
<td>746,610</td>
<td>1991 690,100</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>1968</td>
<td>505,825</td>
<td>1992 639,300</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>1969</td>
<td>432,809</td>
<td>1993 569,200</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>1970</td>
<td>461,676</td>
<td>1994 478,200</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>1971</td>
<td>513,221</td>
<td>1995 501,400</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>1972</td>
<td>436,716</td>
<td>1996 366,300</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>1973</td>
<td>343,445</td>
<td>1997 416,400</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>1974</td>
<td>443,138</td>
<td>1998 354,300</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>1975</td>
<td>446,540</td>
<td>1999 579,000</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>1976</td>
<td>487,078</td>
<td>2000 656,800</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>1977</td>
<td>507,347</td>
<td>2001 448,200</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>1978</td>
<td>236,681</td>
<td>2002 596,800</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2000</td>
<td>507,347</td>
<td>2003 587,800</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2001</td>
<td>646,014</td>
<td>2004 596,800</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2002</td>
<td>448,330</td>
<td>2004 579,000</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2003</td>
<td>451,677</td>
<td>2005 710,700</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2004</td>
<td>505,825</td>
<td>2005 795,928</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2005</td>
<td>336,290</td>
<td>2006 553,993</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2006</td>
<td>487,854</td>
<td>2007 734,415</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2007</td>
<td>597,625</td>
<td>2008 799,700</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2008</td>
<td>734,415</td>
<td>2009 1,073,455</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2009</td>
<td>646,014</td>
<td>2010 800,156</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2010</td>
<td>451,677</td>
<td>2011 1,027,887</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2011</td>
<td>399,169</td>
<td>2012 824,432</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2012</td>
<td>747,217</td>
<td>2013 611,759</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Long-term Mean

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Year</th>
<th>Midwinter Survey</th>
<th>November-December Survey</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>1955</td>
<td>317,284</td>
<td>1979 528,100</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>1966</td>
<td>338,774</td>
<td>1986 521,700</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>1971</td>
<td>513,221</td>
<td>1994 690,100</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>1976</td>
<td>487,078</td>
<td>2001 639,300</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>1981</td>
<td>660,549</td>
<td>2006 569,200</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>1982</td>
<td>445,064</td>
<td>2011 466,300</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>1987</td>
<td>335,586</td>
<td>2012 549,800</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>1992</td>
<td>428,648</td>
<td>2013 521,700</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>1997</td>
<td>534,457</td>
<td>2012 525,300</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2002</td>
<td>546,429</td>
<td>2012 441,000</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2003</td>
<td>474,330</td>
<td>2012 463,900</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2004</td>
<td>451,677</td>
<td>2012 708,500</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2005</td>
<td>795,928</td>
<td>2012 690,100</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2006</td>
<td>553,993</td>
<td>2012 639,300</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2007</td>
<td>734,415</td>
<td>2012 569,200</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2008</td>
<td>875,215</td>
<td>2012 478,200</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2009</td>
<td>852,666</td>
<td>2012 501,400</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2010</td>
<td>713,997</td>
<td>2012 366,300</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2011</td>
<td>628,433</td>
<td>2012 416,400</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2012</td>
<td>848,375</td>
<td>2012 354,300</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2013</td>
<td>776,353</td>
<td>2012 579,000</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Long-term Mean 497,217, 611,759

*a January 2004 midwinter survey was incomplete in several states.*
Table 5. Composition of lesser snow and Ross’s geese from winter air and ground surveys in California, 1977–2011.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Month</th>
<th>Year</th>
<th>White Geese</th>
<th>Snow Geese</th>
<th>Ross’s Geese</th>
<th>% Snow Geese</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>January</td>
<td>1977</td>
<td>507,347</td>
<td>400,937</td>
<td>106,410</td>
<td>65.9(^a)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>February</td>
<td>1989</td>
<td>560,650</td>
<td>545,928</td>
<td>214,722</td>
<td>58.1(^a)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>January</td>
<td>1990</td>
<td>572,118</td>
<td>403,691</td>
<td>168,427</td>
<td>56.4(^a)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>December</td>
<td>1992</td>
<td>598,100</td>
<td>575,914</td>
<td>221,286</td>
<td>63.1</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>December</td>
<td>2000</td>
<td>652,270</td>
<td>601,733</td>
<td>250,537</td>
<td>61.6</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>December</td>
<td>2005</td>
<td>630,686</td>
<td>410,881</td>
<td>219,805</td>
<td>65.2</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>December</td>
<td>2008</td>
<td>900,403</td>
<td>618,155</td>
<td>282,248</td>
<td>68.7</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>December</td>
<td>2011</td>
<td>1,027,887</td>
<td>818,614</td>
<td>209,273</td>
<td>79.6</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

\(^a\) Percent Ross’s geese calculated only from proportion among white geese in the Central Valley.
Table 6. Band recoveries of lesser snow geese marked in the Western Canadian Arctic 1953–2007, by flyway and state during winter recovery periods (December-February, Wood et al. 2011).

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Location</th>
<th>Flyway</th>
<th>State</th>
<th>1953–69 State (%)</th>
<th>Flyway (%)</th>
<th>1970–79 State (%)</th>
<th>Flyway (%)</th>
<th>1980–89 State (%)</th>
<th>Flyway (%)</th>
<th>1990–99 State (%)</th>
<th>Flyway (%)</th>
<th>2000–2010 State (%)</th>
<th>Flyway (%)</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Pacific</td>
<td>Arizona</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>0.2</td>
<td>92.4</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>94.3</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>81.1</td>
<td>77.6</td>
<td>6</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>California</td>
<td>389</td>
<td>89.6</td>
<td>312</td>
<td>93.1</td>
<td>107</td>
<td>81.1</td>
<td>228</td>
<td>76.3</td>
<td>966</td>
<td>78.3</td>
<td>966</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Idaho</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>0.2</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>0.3</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>4</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>4</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Nevada</td>
<td>6</td>
<td>1.4</td>
<td>3</td>
<td>0.9</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>0.3</td>
<td>5</td>
<td>4.0</td>
<td>5</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Utah</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>0.2</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>0.3</td>
<td>7</td>
<td>0.6</td>
<td>7</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Washington</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>0.2</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>3</td>
<td>0.2</td>
<td>3</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Mexico-West</td>
<td>2</td>
<td>0.5</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>4</td>
<td>0.3</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>-</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Western</td>
<td>Colorado</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>7.6</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>5.1</td>
<td>3</td>
<td>2.3</td>
<td>16.7</td>
<td>8</td>
<td>18.7</td>
<td>49</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Central</td>
<td>Montana</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>0.2</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>2.3</td>
<td>16.7</td>
<td>8</td>
<td>18.7</td>
<td>49</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>New Mexico</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>0.8</td>
<td>1.0</td>
<td>3</td>
<td>4.1</td>
<td>4</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Texas - NW</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>0.8</td>
<td>2.0</td>
<td>15</td>
<td>2.0</td>
<td>15</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Wyoming</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>-</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Mexico - Highlands</td>
<td>32</td>
<td>7.4</td>
<td>15</td>
<td>4.5</td>
<td>12</td>
<td>9.1</td>
<td>37</td>
<td>12.4</td>
<td>34</td>
<td>2.8</td>
<td>34</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Central</td>
<td>Kansas</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>0.3</td>
<td>1.5</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>1.7</td>
<td>19</td>
<td>1.5</td>
<td>19</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>(East Tier States)</td>
<td>Nebraska</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>0.3</td>
<td>11</td>
<td>0.9</td>
<td>11</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Oklahoma</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>0.3</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>0.3</td>
<td>4</td>
<td>0.3</td>
<td>4</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>South Dakota</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>0.1</td>
<td>1</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Texas - SE</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>0.8</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>2</td>
<td>0.7</td>
<td>15</td>
<td>1.2</td>
<td>4.1</td>
<td>4.1</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Mexico - East</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>0.3</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>0.1</td>
<td>1</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Mississippi</td>
<td>Arkansas</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>0.3</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>0.8</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>4</td>
<td>1.3</td>
<td>4</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Illinois</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>3</td>
<td>0.2</td>
<td>3</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Kentucky</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>0.1</td>
<td>1</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Louisiana</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>0.3</td>
<td>1.0</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>4</td>
<td>0.3</td>
<td>4</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Mississippi</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>2</td>
<td>0.7</td>
<td>9</td>
<td>0.7</td>
<td>9</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Missouri</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>0.1</td>
<td>1</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Tennessee</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>-</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Total</td>
<td>434</td>
<td>100.0</td>
<td>335</td>
<td>100.0</td>
<td>132</td>
<td>100.0</td>
<td>299</td>
<td>100.0</td>
<td>1233</td>
<td>100.0</td>
<td>100.0</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
Table 7. Historical wintering population and productivity data, Western Central Flyway white goose population, U.S. and Mexico combined, 1979–2011.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Year</th>
<th>Population Estimate</th>
<th>Sample Size</th>
<th>Snow</th>
<th>Ross’s</th>
<th>Blue</th>
<th>Snow</th>
<th>Ross’s</th>
<th>Juv./Family</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>%</td>
<td>%</td>
<td>%</td>
<td>%</td>
<td>%</td>
<td>%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>1979</td>
<td>94,283</td>
<td>6,776</td>
<td>86.7</td>
<td>13.3</td>
<td>2.2</td>
<td>20.6</td>
<td>21.6</td>
<td>--</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>1980</td>
<td>98,996</td>
<td>8,833</td>
<td>85.6</td>
<td>14.4</td>
<td>3.5</td>
<td>35.2</td>
<td>30.7</td>
<td>--</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>1981</td>
<td>75,073</td>
<td>5,705</td>
<td>84.4</td>
<td>15.6</td>
<td>2.6</td>
<td>25.1</td>
<td>22.1</td>
<td>--</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>1982</td>
<td>141,702</td>
<td>2,515</td>
<td>--</td>
<td>--</td>
<td>--</td>
<td>12.7</td>
<td>--</td>
<td>--</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>1983</td>
<td>36,493</td>
<td>8,988</td>
<td>71.1</td>
<td>28.9</td>
<td>1.8</td>
<td>39.9</td>
<td>19.2</td>
<td>--</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>1984</td>
<td>63,043</td>
<td>15,224</td>
<td>93.6</td>
<td>6.4</td>
<td>1.8</td>
<td>25.6</td>
<td>22.1</td>
<td>--</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>1985</td>
<td>176,713</td>
<td>25,217</td>
<td>91.9</td>
<td>8.1</td>
<td>1.4</td>
<td>30.1</td>
<td>22.9</td>
<td>--</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>1986</td>
<td>121,395</td>
<td>23,721</td>
<td>85.3</td>
<td>14.7</td>
<td>0.9</td>
<td>3.7</td>
<td>12.2</td>
<td>2.03</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>1987</td>
<td>120,655</td>
<td>28,060</td>
<td>86.5</td>
<td>13.5</td>
<td>1.1</td>
<td>19.4</td>
<td>8.1</td>
<td>2.13</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>1988</td>
<td>134,352</td>
<td>31,222</td>
<td>86.2</td>
<td>13.8</td>
<td>1.1</td>
<td>27.3</td>
<td>16.3</td>
<td>2.3</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>1989</td>
<td>172,813</td>
<td>34,336</td>
<td>89.1</td>
<td>10.9</td>
<td>1.7</td>
<td>21.7</td>
<td>27.2</td>
<td>2.12</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>1990</td>
<td>166,900</td>
<td>28,321</td>
<td>84.8</td>
<td>15.8</td>
<td>1.3</td>
<td>21.5</td>
<td>12.3</td>
<td>1.94</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>1991</td>
<td>91,739</td>
<td>20,004</td>
<td>84.8</td>
<td>15.2</td>
<td>1.8</td>
<td>11.7</td>
<td>11.4</td>
<td>1.83</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>1992</td>
<td>139,162</td>
<td>21,629</td>
<td>80.1</td>
<td>19.9</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>15.6</td>
<td>8</td>
<td>1.93</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>1993</td>
<td>196,700</td>
<td>35,538</td>
<td>76.4</td>
<td>23.7</td>
<td>1.7</td>
<td>34.2</td>
<td>20.3</td>
<td>2.41</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>1994</td>
<td>161,290</td>
<td>26,531</td>
<td>74.4</td>
<td>25.6</td>
<td>1.3</td>
<td>18.9</td>
<td>13.8</td>
<td>1.99</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>1995</td>
<td>193,915</td>
<td>33,648</td>
<td>75.5</td>
<td>24.5</td>
<td>2.4</td>
<td>22.3</td>
<td>18.2</td>
<td>2.09</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>1996</td>
<td>183,290</td>
<td>37,005</td>
<td>82</td>
<td>18</td>
<td>1.7</td>
<td>29</td>
<td>20.9</td>
<td>2.42</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>1997</td>
<td>218,658</td>
<td>41,183</td>
<td>70.2</td>
<td>29.8</td>
<td>2.4</td>
<td>15.8</td>
<td>14</td>
<td>1.82</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>1998</td>
<td>240,410</td>
<td>43,771</td>
<td>75.4</td>
<td>24.6</td>
<td>1.9</td>
<td>31.8</td>
<td>24.9</td>
<td>2.11</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>1999</td>
<td>309,861</td>
<td>44,072</td>
<td>78.9</td>
<td>21.1</td>
<td>3.1</td>
<td>27.3</td>
<td>27.4</td>
<td>2.15</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2000</td>
<td>221,736</td>
<td>40,270</td>
<td>75.9</td>
<td>24.1</td>
<td>2.3</td>
<td>12.6</td>
<td>12.8</td>
<td>1.78</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2001</td>
<td>211,640</td>
<td>37,783</td>
<td>76</td>
<td>24</td>
<td>1.9</td>
<td>9.8</td>
<td>18.7</td>
<td>1.76</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2002</td>
<td>236,775</td>
<td>47,868</td>
<td>69</td>
<td>31</td>
<td>1.8</td>
<td>7.8</td>
<td>14.9</td>
<td>1.6</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2003</td>
<td>192,132</td>
<td>33,537</td>
<td>73.2</td>
<td>26.8</td>
<td>2</td>
<td>20.6</td>
<td>21.1</td>
<td>1.9</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2004</td>
<td>228,065</td>
<td>32,089</td>
<td>63.2</td>
<td>36.8</td>
<td>3.7</td>
<td>14.9</td>
<td>10.5</td>
<td>1.9</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2005</td>
<td>173,708</td>
<td>35,959</td>
<td>63.4</td>
<td>36.6</td>
<td>3.1</td>
<td>28.1</td>
<td>11.8</td>
<td>2.3</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2006</td>
<td>282,680</td>
<td>40,304</td>
<td>76.4</td>
<td>23.6</td>
<td>3</td>
<td>24</td>
<td>20.2</td>
<td>2.3</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2007</td>
<td>225,015</td>
<td>41,988</td>
<td>66.7</td>
<td>33.3</td>
<td>3.2</td>
<td>11.4</td>
<td>9.7</td>
<td>1.7</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2008</td>
<td>204,110</td>
<td>41,408</td>
<td>70.4</td>
<td>29.6</td>
<td>4.3</td>
<td>15.7</td>
<td>12.6</td>
<td>1.6</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2009</td>
<td>179,996</td>
<td>37,746</td>
<td>68.7</td>
<td>31.3</td>
<td>3.8</td>
<td>9.2</td>
<td>6.8</td>
<td>1.6</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2010</td>
<td>156,160</td>
<td>38,473</td>
<td>70.4</td>
<td>29.6</td>
<td>3.6</td>
<td>24.7</td>
<td>14</td>
<td>1.8</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2011</td>
<td>260,190</td>
<td>44,288</td>
<td>66.8</td>
<td>33.2</td>
<td>4.2</td>
<td>24.7</td>
<td>19.6</td>
<td>1.8</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Avg 1984–2007: 198,739, 34,841, 76.8, 23.2, 2.0, 20.0, 16.7, 2.0, 1,252

% change from 2010: 40.0, 13.1, -5.4, 10.8, 14.3, 0.0, 28.6, 0.0, -21.0

1 Population estimates from ground and aerial estimates during production surveys, Chihuahua, Mexico added to coverage in 1984.
2 Generated using adult component only.
3 Incomplete survey coverage.
4 Average does not include years prior to the addition of the Mexico productivity survey that began in 1984. Averages only include years of complete survey coverage.
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Table 8. Estimated lesser snow/blue goose harvests in Pacific Flyway states, the Central, Mississippi, and Atlantic flyways, and the U.S. total from (a) the federal Mail Questionnaire Survey and (b) the Harvest Information Program, 1999–2011.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Year</th>
<th>AZ</th>
<th>CA</th>
<th>CO</th>
<th>ID</th>
<th>MT</th>
<th>NV</th>
<th>NM</th>
<th>OR</th>
<th>UT</th>
<th>WA</th>
<th>WY</th>
<th>PF Tot.</th>
<th>AK</th>
<th>CF Tot.</th>
<th>MF Tot.</th>
<th>AF Tot.</th>
<th>U.S. Tot.</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>1999</td>
<td>243</td>
<td>41,145</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>717</td>
<td>1,770</td>
<td>182</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>4,462</td>
<td>180</td>
<td>2,686</td>
<td>60</td>
<td>51,445</td>
<td>167</td>
<td>471,697</td>
<td>317,268</td>
<td>54,710</td>
<td>895,289</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2000</td>
<td>323</td>
<td>26,344</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>2,101</td>
<td>105</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>7,899</td>
<td>118</td>
<td>1,463</td>
<td>87</td>
<td>38,352</td>
<td>83</td>
<td>385,950</td>
<td>236,451</td>
<td>72,256</td>
<td>733,097</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2001</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>33,860</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>404</td>
<td>637</td>
<td>337</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>6,283</td>
<td>90</td>
<td>3,574</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>45,185</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>346,335</td>
<td>304,793</td>
<td>77,158</td>
<td>773,470</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2002</td>
<td>221</td>
<td>25,180</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>218</td>
<td>933</td>
<td>107</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>7,810</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>6,730</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>41,199</td>
<td>168</td>
<td>287,793</td>
<td>188,949</td>
<td>39,375</td>
<td>557,645</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2003</td>
<td>300</td>
<td>33,037</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>451</td>
<td>582</td>
<td>315</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>5,186</td>
<td>95</td>
<td>2,641</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>42,607</td>
<td>83</td>
<td>247,983</td>
<td>286,279</td>
<td>36,105</td>
<td>612,973</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2004</td>
<td>1,200</td>
<td>35,355</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>124</td>
<td>1,188</td>
<td>183</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>945</td>
<td>80</td>
<td>1,535</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>40,611</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>216,202</td>
<td>192,256</td>
<td>31,548</td>
<td>480,617</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2005</td>
<td>204</td>
<td>46,934</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>140</td>
<td>2,478</td>
<td>386</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>2,877</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>10,713</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>63,733</td>
<td>46</td>
<td>304,041</td>
<td>248,951</td>
<td>36,103</td>
<td>652,874</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2006</td>
<td>492</td>
<td>43,551</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>122</td>
<td>2,089</td>
<td>79</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>11,160</td>
<td>651</td>
<td>13,334</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>71,479</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>255,994</td>
<td>213,274</td>
<td>33,256</td>
<td>574,003</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2007</td>
<td>288</td>
<td>52,038</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>3,648</td>
<td>243</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>9,021</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>22,501</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>87,738</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>275,310</td>
<td>148,944</td>
<td>50,742</td>
<td>562,735</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2008</td>
<td>300</td>
<td>70,946</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>1,385</td>
<td>90</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>3,231</td>
<td>403</td>
<td>10,920</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>87,274</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>240,597</td>
<td>168,482</td>
<td>58,752</td>
<td>555,105</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2009</td>
<td>408</td>
<td>30,693</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>1,247</td>
<td>988</td>
<td>1,693</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>4,218</td>
<td>292</td>
<td>14,102</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>53,640</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>156,071</td>
<td>109,213</td>
<td>109,213</td>
<td>341,540</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2010</td>
<td>859</td>
<td>54,458</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>1,221</td>
<td>1,382</td>
<td>1,652</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>2,220</td>
<td>866</td>
<td>2,285</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>65,034</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>153,759</td>
<td>82,935</td>
<td>18,293</td>
<td>320,021</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2011</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>43,718</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>600</td>
<td>3,080</td>
<td>700</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>4,088</td>
<td>366</td>
<td>11,563</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>64,115</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>169,145</td>
<td>122,573</td>
<td>37,592</td>
<td>393,423</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Averages:

- 1999–2000: 283, 33,745, 0, 359, 1,936, 144, 0, 6,181, 149, 2,075, 30, 44,899, 127, 428,824, 276,860, 63,483, 814,193
- 2001–2005: 385, 34,873, 0, 267, 1,164, 266, 0, 4,620, 53, 5,039, 0, 46,667, 75, 280,471, 244,246, 44,058, 615,516
- 2006–2011: 391, 49,249, 0, 532, 2,095, 743, 0, 5,656, 430, 12,451, 0, 71,547, 0, 208,479, 140,904, 51,308, 457,805
- All Years: 372, 41,335, 0, 403, 1,712, 467, 0, 5,338, 242, 8,004, 5, 57,878, 48, 270,067, 201,567, 50,393, 573,292

% Change from:

- 1999–2010: -, 6.3%, -55.0%, 92.7%, 56.4%, -24.9%, 58.3%, 50.0%, -11.8%, -39.3%, -41.1%, -26.9%, -33.1%
- 2010: -19.9%, -50.9%, 122.9%, 57.6%, -84.1%, 57.7%, 406.0%, -1.4%, -10.0%, 47.8%, 105.5%, 22.9%

% Change from Harvest:

- 1999–2000: 0.6%, 75.2%, 0.0%, 0.8%, 4.3%, 0.3%, 0.0%, 13.8%, 0.3%, 4.6%, 0.1%, 5.5%, 0.0%, 52.7%, 34.0%, 7.8%
- 2001–2005: 0.8%, 74.7%, 0.0%, 0.6%, 2.5%, 0.6%, 0.0%, 9.9%, 0.1%, 10.8%, 0.0%, 7.6%, 0.0%, 45.6%, 39.7%, 7.2%
- 2006–2011: 0.5%, 68.8%, 0.0%, 0.7%, 2.9%, 1.0%, 0.0%, 7.9%, 0.6%, 17.4%, 0.0%, 15.6%, 0.0%, 45.5%, 30.8%, 11.2%
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<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Year</th>
<th>Idaho</th>
<th>Utah</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>2009</td>
<td>4,500</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2010</td>
<td>1,900</td>
<td>3,700</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2011</td>
<td>1,300</td>
<td>2,400</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2012</td>
<td>3,300</td>
<td>1,300</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Average</td>
<td>2,200</td>
<td>3,000</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Year</th>
<th>British Columbia</th>
<th>Alberta</th>
<th>Saskatchewan</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>1999</td>
<td>1,990</td>
<td>15,416</td>
<td>116,313</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2000</td>
<td>2,559</td>
<td>12,881</td>
<td>68,377</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2001</td>
<td>2,354</td>
<td>13,367</td>
<td>100,525</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2002</td>
<td>7,284</td>
<td>9,612</td>
<td>85,933</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2003</td>
<td>1,312</td>
<td>10,539</td>
<td>108,457</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2004</td>
<td>1,188</td>
<td>3,654</td>
<td>76,709</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2005</td>
<td>2,443</td>
<td>6,490</td>
<td>81,946</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2006</td>
<td>3,170</td>
<td>11,430</td>
<td>116,278</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2007</td>
<td>4,626</td>
<td>14,976</td>
<td>66,934</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2008</td>
<td>2,406</td>
<td>9,570</td>
<td>112,986</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2009</td>
<td>1,316</td>
<td>11,613</td>
<td>80,753</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2010</td>
<td>852</td>
<td>15,319</td>
<td>77,568</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2011</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>14,970</td>
<td>85,848</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Averages

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Period</th>
<th>British Columbia</th>
<th>Alberta</th>
<th>Saskatchewan</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>1970–1979</td>
<td>1,960</td>
<td>22,079</td>
<td>14,104</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>1980–1989</td>
<td>2,259</td>
<td>9,151</td>
<td>25,754</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>1990–1999</td>
<td>1,751</td>
<td>8,954</td>
<td>43,920</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2000–2009</td>
<td>2,866</td>
<td>10,413</td>
<td>89,890</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>All Years</td>
<td>2,154</td>
<td>12,700</td>
<td>32,387</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>